## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

STI HOLDINGS, INC., f/k/a STOUGHTON TRAILERS, INC.,	SPECIAL VERDICT
Plaintiff, v.	09-cv-570-slc
GREAT DANE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,	
Defendant.	
We, the jury, for our special verdict, do find	
Question No. 1: Has Great Dane proven claim 2 of the '564 patent is anticipated?	by clear and convincing evidence that
Answer: (Yes or No)	
Question No. 2: Has Great Dane proven claim 16 of the '564 patent is anticipated?	ı by clear and convincing evidence tha
Answer:(Yes or No)	)

**Question No. 3:** Has Great Dane proven by clear and convincing evidence that claim 2 of the '564 patent was obvious?

Answer: (Yes or No)

**Question No. 4:** Has Great Dane proven by clear and convincing evidence that claim 16 of the '564 patent was obvious?

Answer: (Yes or No)

**Question No. 5:** Has Great Dane proven by clear and convincing evidence that claim 2 of the '902 patent was obvious?

Answer:\_\_\_\_\_(Yes or No)

**Question No. 6**: Has Great Dane proven by clear and convincing evidence that claim 17 of the '902 patent was obvious?

Answer:

(Yes or No)

Presiding Juror

Madison, Wisconsin

Date: 2/16/11